

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,984	08/02/2006	Shigeru Nakamura	F2108.0041	8872	
32172 DICKSTEIN S	7590 06/22/200 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			TRAN, HOANG Q		
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/568,984	NAKAMURA, SHIGERU					
Examiner	Art Unit					
HOANG TRAN	2874					

	HOANG TRAN	2874						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the data set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- jinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee the action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externous of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		al characteristic tax of						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	maliant Amandment (DTOL 224)					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
non-allowable claim(s).	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered or b) □ w	ill he entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		ii bo ontoroa ana an o	Apianation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		i condition for allowan	ce pecause:					
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	(F 1 0/36/06) Paper No(s)							
13. [] Oulei								
/Uyen-Chau N. Le/	л	loang Tran/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2874		kaminer, Art Unit 287	4					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant presented arguments wherein the applicant argue selement '39' of the cited rejection dated 12/96/2008 does not qualify as a layed yunit. The extending disagrees because Ueno teaches wherein the phase change of the waveguides 10 and 11 may cause a delay (Col 3 [30-65] and Col 4 [60-67] - Col 5 [1-10], Ueno then teaches the element '39' controls optical attenuator '42' which leads to phase rape of the waveguide 10 and 11 (Col 11 and 120, finally but on teaches wherein '39' effects the input pulse coming from the circulator '52' which will change the phase values waveguide 10 and 11 resulting in a delay (Col 3 [30-67]. For the reason stated above, the Final Rejection dated 51/91/2009 are maintained.